

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/800,772	PUSKALA, TEEMU
	Examiner John M Hotaling II	Art Unit 3713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) John M Hotaling II. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Tedor Holmberg. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sinclair.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative phoned to get clarification on a office action mailed that used the Sinclair reference that was objected to by the applicant as being commonly owned. The examiner agrees with the applicant's representative. The office action mailed out was exactly the same as the previous office action and must have been mailed by mistake. A copy of the office action that was supposed to be mailed is being mailed now and the time period is being restarted..

A handwritten signature consisting of several loops and strokes, appearing to be a stylized 'J' or 'S'.